

SHOW ME THE PROOF!

Dr. Douglas Frank

I confess, it's a little frustrating to me when I show people my analyses, and then afterward they say, "Yeah, but where is the PROOF?"

If I rolled a large twenty-sided die 83 times in one county, and then a smaller one in the next county and got the same series of 83 numbers, that would be proof enough for me that the dies were rigged.

If I rolled 88 differently sized twenty-sided dies (one for each Ohio County), and got the same series of 83 numbers on each die, then that should be proof enough for anyone that the dies are rigged.

If I distributed dies to every county in the country, and every state had a unique series that was repeated in each of its counties, that should prove to anyone that the dies were rigged in each county to match a predetermined series for each state.

I sorta get it. Some people don't connect with numbers well.

At a recent presentation, an engineer introduced me. As I was showing slides of the DATA, I could hear him speaking under his breath things like, "That's a ratio!" and, "That's a function!"

Numbers, people, don't require any other "proof."

Our election officials seem to reach their tipping points when we show them lists of phantom voters. (Hooray for supermoms!)

And I think the rest of the public will come along once we show them that, contrary to what their election officials have been saying, their voting machines were not merely connected... they were hacked and tracked.

Three different kinds of proof. All leading to the same, obvious conclusion.

Anyone who still doesn't get it, we will have to drag them, kicking and screaming, and rub their noses in it.



➤ Follow Dr. Douglas Frank on Telegram <https://t.me/FollowTheData>